THE WORKER

Oswald's Links To US Agency Get

By GEORGE MORRIS

months of silence on the FBI report on the assassimounting evidence that Lee 'nation barred from release Harvey Oswald was con- to the public until the nected with a U.S. under- Warren Commission which cover agency, the FBI ac- has it, decides to do so, cording to the New York Bureau "spokesmen" have people on that score. man charged with killing newsmen that Lee Oswald Telegram, Dec. 3, under title Tresident Kennedy was on alone was the killer, and had "Truth Won't Out," said that he Times, has denied that the been feeding dope stories to its payroll.

The denial did not come who killed Oswald. from the FBI officially, but Much of the Langguth piece is in a dispatch to the Sunday, a rehash of the masorial already Jan. 26 Times from Dallas leaked by the FBI and Dallas in which Jack Langguth, the police - material that has not, correspondent who has been as Langguth concedes, proved ing questions" about the suspicion and distrust. assassination,

Question No. 1: "Has Oswald served at some period... as a paid informer for the Federal Bureau of Investigation?" Langguth replies: -

"A spokesman for that agency denied today that Oswald was at any time employed by the Bureau in that capacity."

noted Langguth "newspaper and magazine articles have speculated that Oswald was in the service of the FBI, infiltrating leftist organizations at its request.

Langenth's 12 questions and answers read like an AFTER MORE than two FBI press release. with the no relation to Jack Ruby

on the story takes two col-unus to answer "12 parries" and, we might add, they have unms to answer "12 perplex- in fact provoked new questions,

> Langguth does not tell who the FBI "spokesman" was.

Was it J. Edgar Hoover, someone in the Washington office, or some local official in Dallas who doesn't think Oswald was on the FBI payroll?

The FBP's tight secret machinery is such that only the topmost authority in it could positively verify if Oswald, under his or another name, was or had been in its service.

It may be true that technically he was NOT on the FBI payroll. The charge in the newspapers and magazines that the New York Times refers to, including one Times dispatch out of Datlas, suggested that Oswald may have been with the FBI, the Central Intelligence Agency, the "State STAT Department" (as a Times stor STAT suggested) or with one of the reactionary Cuban emigre groups which Oswald made with

contact. The Warren Commission has asked all U.S. departments to check their files for any connection with Oswald. Perhaps and it is a big perhaps — the Warren probe will enlighten the

But Richard Starnes, the coldid not recall, in his years of experience as a reporter, any. instance when a government agency would reveal any information against itself.

"Will the presence on the panel (Warren Commission) of Allen Dulles, erstwhile headanaster of the Central Intelligence Agency, assure us that the truth of Oswald's sojourn in the Soviet Union will ever be known?" asked Starnes.
"The Russians suggest they

suspected him of being a spy. Can any realistic person believe any tentacle of the nation's elephantine espionage apparatus. will own up to ever having

Oswald on its payroll? "It's not in the nature of bureaucracies to destroy their carefully / nurtured fables of omniscience. It would be well to bear in mind, and to remem-

Continued